



RAILWAY OPERATIONS IN SWEDEN AND JAPAN

Similarities and differences with a particular focus on wheel/rail deterioration

MOTOHIDE MATSUI^{1,2}, ANDERS EKBERG¹ & ROGER LUNDÉN¹

¹CHARMEC ²RTRI

motohide@chalmers.se
anders.ekberg@chalmers.se
roger.lunden@chalmers.se







- 1. General comparison
- 2. Comparison of rail / wheel specification
- 3. Comparison of rail / wheel deterioration
- 4. Comparison of mitigating actions
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Brief introduction of RTRI









	Sweden	Japan		
Population (million)	9.5 (21 persons/km ²)	127.6 (338 persons/km ²)		
Land area (thousand km ²)	450	378		





Total length of tracks





Gross hauled tonne-kilometres of trains running on the network 2008



max axle load 30t max speed 200 km/h

CHALMERS

max axle load 18t (conventional) max speed 300 km/h



Punctuality - Sweden

Trains arriving within prescribed "allowed delays"

Persontåg ankomst till slutstation

CHALMERS

ackumulerat september 2011 - augusti 2012

100,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
80,6 %
90,3 %
90,1 %
90,0 %
80,6 %
90,3 %
90,1 %
90,3 %
90,1 %
90,3 %
90,1 %
90,3 %
90,1 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0 %
90,0

Percentage of trains operating all of the planned route

- totalt alla tåg



Regularitet

http://www.trafikverket.se/Om-Trafikverket/Trafikverket/Manatlig-trafikrapport/Transport-pa-jarnvag-i-ratt-tid/6





Punctuality – Sweden

Causes of delays in January and July, 2012

CHALMERS







Punctuality – Japan

Causes of delays in 2009

Total



Metropolitan area

- Regulation -

Railway companies have to report to the government if the train is delayed over 30 min.. http://www.mlit.go.jp/common/000164410.pdf (in Japanese)





Turnover 2008



Total 29 658 millions euros



The portion of passenger traffic is quite large in Japan.

The portion of freight traffic in Sweden is large, compared with that of Japan.

International railway statistics 2008 of UIC



Wheel specification

	Europe	Cmax	Si max	Mn max	P max	S max	σ _t (MPa)
->	C46GT	0.46	0.38	1.15	0.035	0.035	600-720
	C55GT	0.55	0.38	0.86	0.035	0.035	700-820
	C57GT	0.57	0.38	1.05	0.035	0.035	750-880
	C67GT	0.67	0.38	0.86	0.035	0.035	800-940
	C77GT	0.77	0.38	0.86	0.035	0.035	1050- 1200
					_		
	Japan	C	Si	Mn	P max	S max	σ _t (MPa)
	SSW-S	0.60-	0.15-	0.50- 0.90	0.045	0.050	730-960
	SSW-Q	0.75	0.00				860- 1080

(-S and -Q denote the different thermal treatments), JIS E 5401

Comparison of rail / wheel specification





http://www.sumitomometals.co.jp/business/products_details/r ailway-automotive-machinery-parts/syarin/

CHALMERS



Rail specification

Europe SS-EN 13674-1	С	Si	Mn	Cr max F		P max		S max	σ _t (MPa) min
R260	0.62-0.80	0.15-0.58	0.70-1.20	0.15	5 0.0		5	0.025	880
R350HT	0.72-0.80	0.15-0.58	0.70-1.20	0.15	0.02		C	0.025	1175
R370CrHT	0.70-0.82	0.40-1.00	0.70-1.20	0.40-0	.60 0.020		C	0.020	1280
R400HT	0.90-1.05	0.20-0.60	1.00-1.30	0.30	0.02		C	0.020	1280
Japan JIS E1101 & E1120	С	Si	Mn	Cr max	Pr	nax	S	max	σ _t (MPa) min
As-rolled	0.63-0.75	0.15-0.30	0.70-1.10	-	0.0	.030)25	800
HH340 (Head Hardened)	0.72-0.82	0.10-0.55	0.70-1.10	0.20	0.030		0.0)20	1080

(As-rolled: 270HV, HH340: 380HV)





Swedish rail shape



50kg







Japanese rail shape





Damage situation in Japan Squats arose at narrow gauge lines in 1950s

- Steam locomotive (large lateral force)
- Water spray to reduce wear in curves
- Heat treated rail

CHAI MERS

- Modernization of traction : from Steam locomotives to Electric locomotives
- Stop water spray because of improvement of steering performance due to changing steam locomotives to electric locomotives



Appearance of squats(tangent rail)



Single squat



Squats due to WEL



Multiple squats





Fracture surface of squat









Wear & other RCF damage





Head check & Flaking (curve rail)



Corrugation (low rail)



Head check (curve rail)





Typical wheel damage of freight wagon



Flat and flange wear were dominant (flat is rare now). Hollow wear and thermal cracks are common (not very detrimental).







CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Comparison of mitigating actions Mitigating actions in Japan Rail

- Preventive grinding (grinding stones)
- Preventive milling (cutting tool)
- Lubrication on low and/or high rails (liquid & solid)
- Better control on running gear and infrastructure and preventive maintenance
- Monitoring of inspection cars (ultrasonic & on-board camera, etc..)
- Material approach (bainitic steel etc..)
-

Wheel

- Reprofiling (back to original shape)
- Monitoring (camera & sensor mounted in workshop, etc..)
- Flat detection sensor (vibration sensors mounted on the track)
- Better control on running gear (ABS etc..)
- Material approach (modification of wheel shape, corrugated wheel, lubrication on flange and tread, etc..)

•



Damage situation in Sweden

 Head checks and wheel RCF are dominating

 Sometimes (especially under winter conditions) fast growth of damage





- Squats and RCF clusters not very common, but increasing
- Wheel flats and thermal damage fairly common
- Very different damage patterns in different places (diversified operations)



Mitigating actions in Sweden

Rail

- Preventive grinding (grinding stones)
- Lubrication mainly liquid on high rails
- Inspection cars (geometry and rail head cracks)
- Head hardened rail in curves
- Profiles
- ...

Wheel

- Reprofiling
- Ultrasonic inspection
- Wheel load and hot wheel / axle box detectors
- Calibration of braking (/ acceleration)
- Wheel profiles

• ...





General damage – wear and plastic deformation

- Distributed wear (hollow wear / flange wear / gauge corner wear)
 - sensitive in destroying contact geometry
 - in severe operations often in combination with plasticity



Hollow wear of rather severely damaged Swedish freight wheel.

Rail corrugation *Photo Lennart Lundfeldt, Banverket*



- Periodic wear (corrugation /out-of-roundness)
 - noise
 - risk of RCF





General damage - RCF

- Distributed RCF defects (head checks and wheel RCF)
 - curving and braking/acceleration
 - typically surface pits on wheels
 - risk of rail breaks



Rail break setting out from a headchec k crack



RCF-pattern of a Swedish heavy haul wheel

- Single RCF defects (squats and RCF clusters)
 - more random occurrence
 - risk of rail breaks
 - risk of axle box failures





Some concluding remarks

- Japan has about 13 times the population of Sweden. Sweden has about 20% larger area.
- Japan has about twice the railway network size of Sweden with about 4 times the transport volume and 17 times the turnover.
- Japan have higher max speeds, Sweden higher loads
- In Japan passenger transports dominate, in Sweden freight.
- In simplified terms the main focus in Japan is on punctuality and the main focus in Sweden on costs.

- Material specifications for wheel and rails in Japan and Sweden are comparable.
- Japan uses corrugated wheels and rails with more flat heads, which are not commonly used in Sweden.
- The same types of wheel and rail damage occur in Japan and Sweden, although with different emphasis, e.g.:
 - Japan has experience of squats since the 1950's
 - Sweden has more "heavy haul related" damage (headchecks and plastic flow/wear on rails, hollow and flange wear, RCF, thermal damage on wheels)





Introduction of RTRI the rest of time





- Almost all the tracks are a standard gauge in Sweden. A lot of narrow gauge lines are in Japan (Shinkansen and conventional lines are completely divided.).
- In Sweden, the portion of freight is large. On the other hand, in Japan, the portion of passenger is large.
- There are more specifications in Europe than in Japan. Actual specifications of serviced wheels and rails are a little bit different from each other.
- Damage situations are common in both even though the focused damage to be solved is different in the case of wheel.(hollow wear, subsurface RCF.... in Sweden, flange wear, thermal cracks in Japan)
- Practical mitigating actions are similar in both.